

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Catastrophic Regime Shift in Water Reservoirs and São Paulo Water Supply Crisis

Renato M. Coutinho^{1☯*}, Roberto A. Kraenkel^{1☯}, Paulo I. Prado^{2☯}

1 Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista—UNESP, São Paulo, Brazil, **2** LAGE do Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo—USP, São Paulo, Brazil

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.

* renatomc@ift.unesp.br



Abstract

The relation between rainfall and water accumulated in reservoirs comprises nonlinear feedbacks. Here we show that they may generate alternative equilibrium regimes, one of high water-volume, the other of low water-volume. Reservoirs can be seen as socio-environmental systems at risk of regime shifts, characteristic of tipping point transitions. We analyze data from stored water, rainfall, and water inflow and outflow in the main reservoir serving the metropolitan area of São Paulo, Brazil, by means of indicators of critical regime shifts, and find a strong signal of a transition. We furthermore build a mathematical model that gives a mechanistic view of the dynamics and demonstrates that alternative stable states are an expected property of water reservoirs. We also build a stochastic version of this model that fits well to the data. These results highlight the broader aspect that reservoir management must account for their intrinsic bistability, and should benefit from dynamical systems theory. Our case study illustrates the catastrophic consequences of failing to do so.

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Coutinho RM, Kraenkel RA, Prado PI (2015) Catastrophic Regime Shift in Water Reservoirs and São Paulo Water Supply Crisis. PLoS ONE 10(9): e0138278. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278

Editor: Daqing Li, Beihang University, CHINA

Received: June 16, 2015

Accepted: August 27, 2015

Published: September 15, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Coutinho et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All code and data files are available from a git repository on Github (<https://github.com/cantareira/plos>).

Funding: RMC was partially funded through a PhD fellowship, grant 2010/09464-1, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP - <http://fapesp.br>), Brazil, and through a post-doctoral PNPd fellowship from the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES - <http://capes.gov.br>), Brazil. RAK and PIP both hold grants from the National Counsel of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq - <http://cnpq.br>), Brazil (RAK: #308180/2013-5; PIP: 306183/2014-5). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and

Introduction

Complex socio-ecological and socio-environmental systems often involve interactions between natural elements and human action in a nonlinear and adaptive way [1]. Such systems have received much attention [2, 3] recently and may display many of the common dynamical features present in natural systems. Here we will be interested in the existence of alternative stable states, representing different possible dynamical regimes, and transitions between them. These tipping-point transitions have been well studied and are common in natural systems [4]. Desertification [5–7] and lake eutrophication [8, 9] are only the most notable ones among a plethora of cases. Characterization of a transition from time-series data can be assessed using indexes related to either return-time to equilibria or variability near the tipping point [10]. These techniques, together with models that encompass the main features of the system, may be extended to systems where the interaction with the human factor creates the possibility of a certain level of control or, at least, of action attempting to bring the system to a situation that is considered desirable. Our attention will be focused on the dynamics of water reservoirs, which has not been studied under this light, and on the characterization of alternative stable states

analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

and associated transitions. We show a case in which these dynamic properties have been neglected in the management of one of the largest water reservoirs in the world, the Cantareira system, which serves the Metropolitan Area of São Paulo (MASP).

MASP faces an unprecedented crisis of water supply. This exceptional situation can be readily seen from the plot of the volume of water stored in the Cantareira system, the main reservoir serving the area, over the past twelve years (Fig 1). The volume of water decreased sharply from mid-2013 and the operational capacity of the reservoir was depleted in July 2014. Since then water withdrawal is done by pumping of the so-called "strategic reserve" or "dead volume". The São Paulo Water Company, SABESP, began to reduce withdrawals in January 2014, and by May 2015 the total outflow was 40% of the average values. Additionally, the last rainy season (October 2014—March 2015) provided more rainfall compared to the previous two years. Despite that, only 15% of total volume had been recovered, and the reservoir remains operationally exhausted. This situation seems typical of catastrophic regime shifts, driven by a




Fig 1. Rainfall, water flows and volume stored in the Cantareira system since 2004. Upper panel: notwithstanding the inter-annual trend, a clear seasonal fluctuation is present in the rainfall (gray) which was followed by the volume of stored water (percentage of operational volume, blue line) until mid 2013. From this point on, the volume of water decreased to very low levels, without any seasonal trend. A recovery of small amplitude is seen from Feb-May 2015 due to reduction in outflow. This is shown in the lower panel: the water inflow into the reservoir (gray) showed seasonal fluctuations coupled to rainfall. The reservoir operators kept outflow (blue line) around the maximum average allowed ($36 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, exceeded only to avoid overflow). Operators started a gradual decrease in outflow in the beginning of 2014, when the operational volume was close to depletion. Since the depletion (July 2014), water is available only by pumping, and outflow reduction proceeded in a slow pace till January 2015, when it was abruptly cut by 25%.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278.g001

tipping-point transition. In this work, we will establish this regime transition on firm grounds and discuss its consequences. For sake of brevity, we will use the term *reservoir* to mean a system of interconnected reservoirs.

We propose that reservoir water-volume is subject to drastic regime changes due to the underlying bistability of the system. Bistability is the condition in which there are at least two possible stationary states of a system for the same set of parameters. In our case, the parameter is rainfall and the variable that may assume two possible alternative stable states is the volume of water stored in the reservoir. If the reservoir is at high levels the catchment has more stored water and thus more of the rainfall will flow into water the reservoir. On the other hand, for low water levels the most obvious change is that much of the water is absorbed by dry soil and thus a lower proportion of rainfall becomes stored water in the reservoir. Many other factors may be at play and the exact relation between rainfall and the amount of water stored in the reservoir is an instance of the rainfall-runoff problem in hydrology [11]. What matters for our discussion is that these feedback mechanisms are drivers of critical transitions.

Both states, high-level and low-level regime, are resilient in ranges of rainfall and outflow parameters that may overlap, leading to hysteretic behavior: the paths to and from the low-level state are not the same. Resilience of the high-level water volume regime may be lost, for instance, if the rainfall index falls below a certain critical value, or if the reservoir is over-exploited. The system then transits to the low-level regime, in a tipping-point transition entailing a regime shift. The dramatic side of this fact is that the low-level regime is also resilient, so either rainfall index has to increase beyond the mentioned critical value, or outflow has to be reduced drastically in order to produce a backwards transition to the high-level regime. This state of affairs prompts us to characterize transitions by indexes related to the critical slowing down phenomenon [12]. These indexes are usually connected to research of early-warning signals of critical transitions. Discussions in recent literature have raised doubts about how early the signals are [13], but this is not actually relevant in the present case, as we are concerned mainly with characterization and not anticipation of the transition.

In what follows we proceed by the following steps: we first inspect the data to establish the existence of a feedback mechanism; next we look for indicators of critical transitions in two steps, first by a qualitative assessment of the data, and second by demonstrating the existence of a peak in the variance characteristic of near tipping point regions. We then turn to a further step by proposing a mathematical model for the dynamics of the system, in two versions. First, a deterministic version where we can understand the dynamical behavior of reservoirs. Next, we propose an stochastic extension of the model, via a stochastic differential equation which can be fitted to actual data accurately and used for short-term extrapolations.

Model Independent Results

The feedback mechanism

Given a certain amount of rainfall, how much water will effectively flow into the reservoir? This is connected to the rainfall-runoff problem, central in hydrology. The big picture is that the wetter the catchment the more of the rainfall is converted in runoff water [11], that in this case will flow to the reservoir. A complete assessment of this situation involves modeling the rainfall-runoff relation in an explicit spatial setting, considering soil heterogeneities, terrain and many other variables. However, in order to proceed in a simpler way, we postulate that the volume of water stored in the reservoir is a surrogate for the drainage basin condition. To verify that this is a sound assumption, we show in Fig 2 the ratio of water inflow to the rainfall in the Cantareira reservoir as a function of the volume, yielding a clear trend: the higher the volume, the more efficiently the rainfall becomes water flowing into the reservoir.




Fig 2. Dependence of the daily ratio of inflow to rainfall on the stored water in the reservoir. The Cantareira reservoir shows the well-known non-linear transfer of rainfall to runoff. The volume of water in the reservoir is a surrogate of total water in the whole catchment. The higher the volume the wetter the catchment and the larger the inflow/rainfall ratio. The data is the same of Fig 1, with each point corresponding to data for one day.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278.g002

Assessment of a critical transition

In Fig 3 we show the data for the Cantareira reservoir in the rainfall vs. stored-water plane. In normal situations, we would expect to have nearly closed curves in the upper part of the plane. These curves come from the seasonality of rainfall, which induces similar oscillations in the water stored in the reservoir. However, what is seen in the plot is that from 2013 on, the system spins down to a new cycle on the lower part of the plane. This lower cycle represents, again, seasonal variations, but the volume now oscillates around much smaller values. In the inset of Fig 3 we show what would be the result obtained from an ordinary differential equation displaying bistable behavior, in which the rainfall parameter oscillates in time and has a decreasing trend, inducing a regime change. Similarities are evident. The specific equations that generate Fig 3 will be discussed in the Mathematical Models section.

The previous discussion gives a qualitative view of a transition, but we would like to take a step forward and provide a more quantitative assessment. In recent years, several methods for




Fig 3. Observed and simulated transition between two dynamical regimes in the rainfall-volume plane. In the main panel we show the actual data for the Cantareira system. In the inset we show the results of a differential equation describing this system, which exhibits bistable behavior. The equation was simulated with variation of rainfall with a time oscillating part plus a long-term decreasing trend.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278.g003

detecting early-warning signals of critical transitions have been developed [10, 14]. These are based on the critical slowing down phenomenon, implying that the autocorrelation function at lag one and variance indicators should increase near the transition. As discussed in [15], a series of underpinnings exist concerning the reliability of these indicators, which may fail to anticipate the occurrence of a transition. However, in this work we are interested in characterizing the regime shift rather than anticipating it. In order to do so, we evaluated evidence of a critical transition with a diffusion-drift-jump model (*ddj*). If the time series is long enough and has high frequency of observations, this model gives an accurate description of the underlying process that generates the data [16]. Variations along time are described as a combination of instantaneous changes by deterministic trends (the drift), instantaneous variation (diffusion) and occasional uncorrelated changes (jumps). The model also allows to estimate the variance conditioned to these components. In theory, this conditional variance goes to infinity at bifurcation points of the underlying dynamics [10]. We found a very clear peak in the conditional variance near the beginning of 2014 (Fig 4). This gives the first characterization of an indicator of critical regime shifts for water reservoirs. Running-window statistics like variance and autocorrelation at lag one [10] followed the same trend.

As discussed in [12], critical transitions are better assessed when, together with a statistical indicator, like the conditional variance used in Fig 4, a mechanism leading to bistability is evident. The feedback discussed above is the basic mechanism we hypothesize. A further step, therefore, is to build a mathematical model that takes into account the feedback and may be fitted to the data, and show that it exhibits bistability. This is what we present in the next section.

Mathematical models for reservoir dynamics

Deterministic model

The change in time of stored-water volume in a reservoir is determined by inflow and outflow rates. Outflow rates are a consequence of management decisions. Inflow comes ultimately from rainfall through a set of hydrological processes. This leads to an equation of the form:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -s(V) + r(V, R), \tag{1}$$

where V is the volume of water stored in the reservoir, $s(V)$ is the outflow rate and $r(V, R)$ is the inflow rate, with R a measure of the rainfall. We now discuss these terms separately.

Outflow. We assume that withdrawal of water is managed and saturates to a maximum, s_0 , when the stored volume is high, but is reduced as water becomes scarce (which could be done both to prevent complete disaster or due to the difficulty in pumping water from low levels). Such assumption is realistic for the Cantareira system: while stored volume was above 33% water withdrawals oscillated around $36 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, according to the maximum quota set by the Brazilian Water Agency, ANA (Fig 5). As the volume dropped outflow decreased because ANA pushed the main reservoir operator SABESP to cut down withdrawals. To model this situation we use the following simple form:

$$s(V) = \frac{s_0 V}{K + V}, \tag{2}$$

where K is the volume of water at which the withdrawal is reduced to $s_0/2$. It is a measure of how cautious the water management is.

Inflow. As already implied in the notation $r(V, R)$, inflow depends not only on rainfall, but also on volume. As discussed above, we propose that inflow is higher for higher volumes. A




Fig 4. Assessment of critical regime shift in a water reservoir by a peak in the conditional variance. Conditional variance from a drift-diffusion-jump model (*ddj*) for the Cantareira water volume as a function of relative stored volume (left), and of time (right). The *ddj* model was fitted to the daily time series of the relative stored volume, log-transformed as recommended by [10]. The time series (right panel) shows an abrupt increase of *ddj* conditional variance at the beginning of 2014, which indicates that a state transition occurred. The left panel shows that the high variance values occurred in a narrow range of low volumes in the reservoir, recorded in the end of 2013 and beginning of 2014.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278.g004

simple assumption is thus:

$$r(V, R) = \begin{cases} \alpha R^\beta V^\gamma & , \text{ if } V < V_{max} \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\alpha, 0 < \beta \leq 1$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ are phenomenological parameters. We also introduced a maximum volume for the reservoir, V_{max} . Once it is attained, no increase in stored water is possible and excess inflow is released downstream through a spillway.

Putting everything together, we come to the following differential equation:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{s_0 V}{K + V} + \alpha R^\beta V^\gamma, \quad (4)$$

if $V < V_{max}$. If $V > V_{max}$, the second term on the right-hand side is zero. Eq (4) is not meant to be a full model of all hydrological processes, but rather a description of the basic dynamical factors affecting the volume of water stored in a reservoir. In spite of its simplicity, a stochastic version of it fits very well to observed data, as will be seen below.




Fig 5. Daily water withdrawal from the Cantareira reservoir depends on stored water volume. Withdrawals by the reservoir operators saturated to a maximum average value when stored water was above one third of operational capacity. Note also that when stored water fell below -15% operators plunged withdrawals from ca. 23 to 14 $m^3 s^{-1}$. Since then releases are kept at these levels despite an increase in the stored volume, to save the current volume (darker points).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278.g005




Fig 6. Fixed points of Eq (4) as a function of rainfall R . We assumed that $V_{max} = 1$, and V is measured in fractions of the maximum volume. Parameter values are: $\alpha = 1$, $s_0 = 1$, $K = 0.2$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 2/3$. The region of bistability is shadowed.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278.g006

If the rainfall is constant over time, Eq (4) has either one or two stable fixed points, depending on the value of R . Fig 6 shows the general situation, assuming specific values for the parameters. The existence of a bistability region is clear.

The existence of the bistability region depends essentially on the fact that inflow is dependent on the volume. The form of the outflow term is not essential: all that is required is that it goes to zero as V goes to 0 and that it saturates at some value. The existence of a maximum volume is, from the mathematical viewpoint, a form of avoiding arbitrarily large volumes.

Obviously, in real situations R is not constant. It has clear seasonal variations and may be subject to long-term trends. Solutions, in this case, will not tend to a constant value. Bistability will be reflected in the existence of different regimes, corresponding to oscillations around the fixed points of the time-independent problem, as long as the seasonal variations and trends are not too large. In Fig 7 an example is shown, with $R = R_0(1 + a \sin(2\pi ft))(1 - bt)$. We also plot the solution as a curve in the $V \times R$ -plane (inset of Fig 3), showing the distinctive feature of




Fig 7. Regime shift in our mathematical model. The volume time series is a representative numerical solution of Eq (4). For this solution rainfall is assumed as $R(t) = R_0(1 + a \sin(2\pi ft))(1 - bt)$, with $R_0 = 1.1$, $a = 0.4$, $f = 0.4$ and $b = 0.01$. All the other parameters are the same as in Fig 6. The parametric plot $V(t) \times R(t)$ for this solution is given in the inset of Fig 3.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278.g007

oscillations in the upper part, spinning down on a short time scale to the lower part. This pattern is present also in the observed relationship between rainfall and stored volume in the reservoir (main panel of Fig 3).

Stochastic model

In order to compare theory to data we need to take a further step and allow for stochastic fluctuations. This leads us to consider the following stochastic differential equation:

$$dV = (\alpha R^\beta V^\gamma - E) dt + \sigma V dW, \tag{5}$$

where E is the outflow of water ($m^3 s^{-1}$), R is the mean rainfall in the previous 30 days (mm) and W is the standard Wiener process. The term $\sigma V dW$ expresses an instantaneous stochastic Gaussian noise in the stored volume, with zero mean and standard deviation proportional to the stored volume. We also assume that the observed values of stored volume follow a Gaussian distribution with mean value equal to the expected value of the stochastic equation and an unknown standard deviation ϵ . The reason to take R as a 30-day mean is empirical: volume does not respond instantaneously to rainfall, and complex hydrological processes tend to spread effects over time. This non-locality in time is encompassed by taking a mean rainfall over a certain period. The period itself (30 days) is justified *a posteriori* as the one giving the best fit to data.

Eq (5) keeps the deterministic skeleton from the previous discussion, at the same time allowing us to take V , R , E and inflow from time-series of recorded data for the Cantareira reservoir. We recall that our model predicts catastrophic shifts because water inflow depends on the stored volume, which creates a feedback mechanism. To evaluate the support provided by data to this hypothesis, we evaluated a competing model in which inflow depends only on rainfall:

$$dV = (\alpha R^\beta - E) dt + \sigma V dW. \tag{6}$$

The dataset are the time series of daily inflow, outflow, rainfall, and stored water volume. The model provided good fits for time spans up to one year. In this paper we focused on the last 365 of the time series (May 31 2014 to May 31 2015). Because simultaneous fitting of all five parameters (α , β , γ , σ and ϵ) did not converge, or provided unreasonable estimates, we calibrated both models in 3 steps:

1. The observation error ϵ was conservatively estimated from trajectory matching [17].
2. The exponent γ was estimated as the slope of a Gaussian linear regression of reservoir area in function of reservoir volume in log scale.
3. Parameters α , β and σ were estimated with Bayesian particle filter [18] modified by [17].

Eq 5, which describes expected water inflow as a function of rainfall and current stored volume, provided a much more plausible fit to the observed time series (log-likelihood ratio: 12.11, Table 1). The model that does not take into account the effect of volume on inflow (Eq (6)) underestimated the stored volume in most of the period, and did not predict the increase and further stabilization of stored volume since February 2015 (Fig 8). The better fit of Eq (5) supports the hypothesis that the ratio of inflow to rainfall depends on the volume. This feedback is caused by the interaction between rainfall and stored volume, a surrogate of the hydrological state of the catchment. This, in turn, substantiates our statement about the existence of alternative states due to a feedback process.

Table 1. Estimates of parameters and Log-likelihood (LL) for two competing models to predict the changes in stored water volume in Cantareira reservoir. The model that describes water inflow as a function of rainfall and stored water ($f(\text{rain}, \text{volume})$, Eq (5)) had a much higher likelihood and thus provides a much more plausible description of the time series.

Model	α	β	γ	σ	τ	LL
Eq (5): $f(\text{rain}, \text{volume})$	5.998	1.043	0.590	0.00231	3.2×10^7	-6676.0
Eq (6): $f(\text{rain})$	392494.3	0.926	-	0.00948	3.2×10^7	-6688.1

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278.t001

Concluding remarks

We have demonstrated that the dynamics of the volume of water stored in a reservoir is subject to abrupt regime shifts and hysteretic behavior. These results follow from: (i) a qualitative assessment of the dynamics; (ii) a quantitative evaluation of indexes characterizing regime shifts; (iii) a modeling approach that gives a mechanistic view of the dynamics; and (iv) a fit of a corresponding stochastic model to the data.

These results have serious significance for water reservoirs management. Resilience of the normal operating conditions may be lost under drought conditions in favor of a new low water levels regime, which is itself resilient under a range of external conditions. Recovery of the normal condition can, thus, be difficult, and may demand potentially extreme measures. These




Fig 8. Time series of observed and predicted stored water volume in the Cantareira reservoir system. The black line shows the observed time series which are daily records from 2014-05-31 to 2015-05-31. The orange lines and shaded areas show the expected trajectories and Bayesian credibility interval from a mathematical model of observed inflow as function of rainfall (Eq (6)). The blue lines and shaded areas show the expected values and intervals from a model of inflow as a function of both rainfall and the stored volume (Eq (5)). Trajectories and intervals were calculated from 5000 numerical simulations of each model, with parameters sampled from the posterior distribution of the Bayesian particle filter estimation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278.g008

measures are those that allow for decrease in the outflow of the reservoir, representing a possible burden to populations served by the reservoir. This implies that cautious management of a reservoir should avoid the regime shift. The major question then becomes: how to achieve such management? We have taken a first step here, identifying the need to acknowledge the potential for such catastrophic transitions. Under this perspective, early warning signals of catastrophic regime shifts are a possible avenue to tackle the problem in the absence of detailed hydrological data, but the extent of their predictive power has yet to be ascertained.

Studies in water resources have mainly favored full-blown models to depict the ever increasing understanding of hydrological processes [11]. Nevertheless simple mathematical descriptions of the first principles that operate in catchments also proved to be useful both in fitting data and understanding the underlying mechanisms [11, 19]. We followed this synthetic approach to propose a model akin to those used in system dynamics. Our models are a bare-to-the-bones description on how rainfall turns into stored water in a reservoir. In doing that we depict many hydrological processes in a few fixed parameters. Such a phenomenological approach brings out general dynamic properties. In this sense, we show how alternative states and regime shifts result from a very simple first principle, namely, feedback mechanisms. We show that a model that has these feedbacks—and not much more than that—provides a more plausible description of observed data. In doing so we aim to highlight key properties that water reservoir share with other socio-environmental systems, which can contribute to fully-fledged models.

In this study we focused on a specific reservoir, the Cantareira system in the metropolitan area of São Paulo. Let us now elaborate on this specific case. Signals of below the average rainfall were present since mid-2013, with corresponding decrease in water inflow. The conditional variance increased steadily in the very beginning of 2014, indicating an ongoing regime shift. The average inflow rate in January 2014 was $15.7 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, whereas the outflow was kept at $34.3 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, a very unusual deficit for the rainy season in the region. Actually, the 30-day moving average of inflows and outflows presented deficits continually from May 2013 to February 2015, contrary to the recurrent pattern of the period from December-May, which always had greater inflows than outflows. The operators began decrementing releases only in March 2014, and at a pace that was not enough to bring back the system to the normal operating conditions. The obvious question is why the management policies could not avoid the collapse of the system. Official documents available to the public [20] show that the Brazilian Water Agency (ANA) and the São Paulo State Water Department (DAEE) agreed that operators (SABESP and other water companies) could take up to $36 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ of water from the Cantareira system. The agreement also included a reservoir rule curve that prescribed maximum allowed withdrawals according to the stored volume of the system. These were not respected since January 2014. Even if the limits were met, they were probably too loose. For instance, the outflow allowed for the rainy months of November/December 2014 would be $27 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ even if the operational capacity had been completely depleted. Since March 2014, ANA and DAEE abandoned the rule curve and adopted tighter limits. The maximum values have been negotiated periodically, and are currently $17.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (June-August 2015) and $13.5 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (September-November 2015). Nevertheless, the National Center for Surveillance of Natural Disasters (<http://www.cemaden.gov.br/>) forecasts that the Cantareira system will stay below its operational capacity at least until the beginning of 2016.

In summary, our results and our study case show that the management of reservoirs should take alternative regimes into account and avoid a transition to low-volume regimes. Failing to do so represents a prolonged burden, extending well beyond the period of anomalous rainfall, because outflow has to be kept as low as possible until a backwards transition occurs. Therefore managers should act as another feedback mechanism in the socio-environmental system that

keeps it in the desired regime notwithstanding external forces like climate anomalies. In not doing so, managers of the Cantareira system acted like one more external force that pushed the reservoir to a catastrophic shift.

Data Sets

Data for the time series of stored water volume in the main reservoir system of São Paulo State (Cantareira) and for the corresponding rainfall index were obtained from the São Paulo Water Company (SABESP) database, which can be accessed at <http://www2.sabesp.com.br/mananciais/DivulgacaoSiteSabesp.aspx>. Data for the inflow and outflow were obtained from <http://www2.sabesp.com.br/mananciais/Divulgacaoopcj.aspx> and processed through Optical Character Recognition (OCR) software. Starting January 15th, 2015, a more convenient tool has been provided by SABESP at <http://site.sabesp.com.br/site/interna/Default.aspx?secaoId=553>. All data and codes used in the analyses are available at (<https://github.com/cantareira/plos>).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank FAPESP, CNPq, and CAPES (Brazil), for partial support.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RMC RAK PIP. Analyzed the data: RMC RAK PIP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RMC RAK PIP. Wrote the paper: RMC RAK PIP.

References

1. Folke C. Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social–ecological systems analyses. *Global Environmental Change*. 2006; 16(3):253–267.
2. Gordon LJ, Peterson GD, Bennett EM. Agricultural modifications of hydrological flows create ecological surprises. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 2008; 23(4):211–219. doi: [10.1016/j.tree.2007.11.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.11.011)
3. Österblom H, Merrie A, Metian M, Boonstra WJ, Blenckner T, Watson JR, et al. Modeling Social-Ecological Scenarios in Marine Systems. *BioScience*. 2013; 63(9):735–744. doi: [10.1093/bioscience/63.9.735](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioscience/63.9.735)
4. Scheffer M, Carpenter S, Foley JA, Folke C, Walker B. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. *Nature*. 2001; 413(6856):591–596. doi: [10.1038/35098000](https://doi.org/10.1038/35098000) PMID: [11595939](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11595939/)
5. Klausmeier CA. Regular and irregular patterns in semiarid vegetation. *Science*. 1999; 284(5421):1826–1828. doi: [10.1126/science.284.5421.1826](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5421.1826) PMID: [10364553](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10364553/)
6. Meron E, Gilad E, von Hardenberg J, Shachak M, Zarmi Y. Vegetation patterns along a rainfall gradient. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*. 2004; 19(2):367–376. doi: [10.1016/S0960-0779\(03\)00049-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-0779(03)00049-3)
7. Scheffer M, Carpenter SR. Catastrophic regime shifts in ecosystems: linking theory to observation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 2003; 18(12):648–656. doi: [10.1016/j.tree.2003.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2003.09.002)
8. Scheffer M, van Nes EH. Shallow lakes theory revisited: various alternative regimes driven by climate, nutrients, depth and lake size. *Hydrobiologia*. 2007; 584(1):455–466. doi: [10.1007/s10750-007-0616-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-0616-7)
9. Carpenter SR. Eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems: bistability and soil phosphorus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2005; 102(29):10002–10005. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0503959102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0503959102) PMID: [15972805](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15972805/)
10. Dakos V, Carpenter SR, Brock WA, Ellison AM, Guttal V, Ives AR, et al. Methods for detecting early warnings of critical transitions in time series illustrated using simulated ecological data. *PLoS ONE*. 2012; 7(7):e41010. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0041010](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041010) PMID: [22815897](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22815897/)
11. Beven KJ. *Rainfall-runoff modelling: the primer*. John Wiley & Sons; 2011.
12. Dakos V, Carpenter SR, van Nes EH, Scheffer M. Resilience indicators: prospects and limitations for early warnings of regime shifts. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*. 2015; 370(1659):20130263. doi: [10.1098/rslb.2013.0263](https://doi.org/10.1098/rslb.2013.0263)

13. Boettiger C, Ross N, Hastings A. Early warning signals: the charted and uncharted territories. *Theoretical Ecology*. 2013; 6(3):255–264. doi: [10.1007/s12080-013-0192-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12080-013-0192-6)
14. Dakos V. Early warning signals toolbox; 2015. Accessed on 29 Jan 2015. <http://www.early-warning-signals.org>.
15. Boettiger C, Hastings A. Quantifying limits to detection of early warning for critical transitions. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*. 2012; 9(75):2527–2539. doi: [10.1098/rsif.2012.0125](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2012.0125)
16. Carpenter S, Brock W. Early warnings of unknown nonlinear shifts: a nonparametric approach. *Ecology*. 2011; 92(12):2196–2201. doi: [10.1890/11-0716.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0716.1) PMID: 22352158
17. King AA, Ionides EL, Bretó C, Ellner S, Kendall B, Wearing H, et al.. pomp: Statistical inference for partially observed Markov processes; 2009. <http://pomp.r-forge.r-project.org>.
18. Liu J, West M. Combined parameter and state estimation in simulation-based filtering. In: *Sequential Monte Carlo methods in practice*. Springer; 2001. p. 197–223.
19. Young PC, Chotai A, Beven KJ. Data-based mechanistic modelling and the simplification of environmental systems. In: Wainwright J, Mulligan M, editors. *Environmental Modelling: Finding Simplicity in Complexity*. Chichester, UK: Wiley; 2004. p. 371–388.
20. Agência Nacional de Águas, Departamento de Águas e Energia Elétrica. Dados de referência acerca da outorga do sistema Cantareira. Brasília, DF; 2015. http://arquivos.ana.gov.br/institucional/soi/Renovacao_Outorga/DDR_Sistema_Cantareira-12Jun15---FINAL.pdf.

Correlation doesn't imply causation

Posted by [kelman](#) on 22 Sep 2015 at 00:23 GMT

This paper starts showing that there is a positive correlation between inflow and storage. This is obvious for those familiar with the equation of continuity. They know that inflow causes storage. But the other way around – storage causing inflow - as proposed by the authors, isn't necessarily true. One of the first things one learns in a statistics class is that correlation doesn't imply causation.

As observed by the authors, "the wetter the catchment the more of the rainfall is converted in runoff water". Using the authors' notation, this means that the inflow r into the reservoir is not only a function of the rainfall R , but also of the water stored in the catchment, mostly in the soil, C . That is the inflow can be represented as $r(C,R)$.

The authors "postulate that the volume of water stored in the reservoir is a surrogate for the drainage basin condition". That is, they use $r(V,R)$ rather than $r(C,R)$ in the continuity equation of the reservoir. In their words: "inflow depends not only on rainfall, but also on volume... we propose that reservoir water-volume is subject to drastic regime changes due to the underlying bistability of the system. Bistability is the condition in which there are at least two possible stationary states of a system for the same set of parameters. In our case, the parameter is rainfall and the variable that may assume two possible alternative stable states is the volume of water stored in the reservoir. If the reservoir is at high levels the catchment has more stored water and thus more of the rainfall will flow into the reservoir. On the other hand, for low water levels the most obvious change is that much of the water is absorbed by dry soil and thus a lower proportion of rainfall becomes stored water in the reservoir". This is the core of the authors' claim of a nonlinear feedback. In fact, if the reservoir is at high level, the catchment may have or may not have more stored water, depending on the previous decisions about outflow, which in turn depend on the water demand and on the status of the other water producing systems of the Metropolitan Region. In case the catchment has indeed more stored water, probably the mean infiltration rate will be smaller and more rainfall will be converted into runoff, as suggested by the authors. On the other hand, the high level of the reservoir decreases the gradient of the water table, and therefore the groundwater flow from the marginal land into the reservoir (Darcy equation).

Conceptually, the emptying of the reservoir could cause a nonlinear sink effect in the surrounding groundwater as it is the case, for example, when the pumping rate in one or more wells surpasses recharge, resulting on lowering the water table of the non-confined aquifer and, eventually, to a new equilibrium regime.

In order to evaluate whether an analogous phenomenon exists in the Cantareira case, it would be necessary to model the reservoir – groundwater relationship. This was not done by the authors neither by this commentator. However it is fair to assume that in this particular case the feedback mechanism is negligible because the maximum surface areas of the reservoirs is only 4% of the total catchment area. Therefore, the occurrence of the two equilibrium regimes in the Cantareira case doesn't seem to be grounded on the physical reality.

The authors' attempted to compare two modeling options: one with and the other without the feedback mechanism. They tried to fit the models to the observed daily series in the time interval from May 31, 2014 to May 31, 2015. However they failed to do so "because simultaneous fitting of all five parameters did not converge, or provided unreasonable estimates". Nevertheless they went forward with the comparison using a cumbersome estimation procedure and adding white noise into the equation. That is, they used a stochastic model to produce Monte Carlo simulations. Based on this difficult-to-reproduce approach, they got "5000 numerical simulations of each model, with parameters sampled from the posterior distribution of the Bayesian particle filter estimation".

The results were displayed in Figure 8.

According to the authors, "the model that does not take into account the effect of volume on inflow underestimated the stored volume in most of the period, and did not predict the increase and further

stabilization of stored volume since February 2015. The better fit supports the hypothesis that the ratio of inflow to rainfall depends on the volume. This feedback is caused by the interaction between rainfall and stored volume, a surrogate of the hydrological state of the catchment. This, in turn, substantiates our statement about the existence of alternative states due to a feedback process”.

In reality the stabilization of stored volume since February 2015 was due to a radical reduction on the reservoir outflow, decided by Sabesp (the reservoir operator). This could only be achieved because Sabesp had just finished the construction of several interconnections in the water distribution system of the Metropolitan Region aiming to increase the operational flexibility. It was a manmade phenomenon that certainly could not be modeled based on natural processes.

In addition, the differences between the black, orange and blue lines don't seem to be relevant. What really strikes the reader is the widening of the blue shaded area, starting on February 2015. This means that, according to the model proposed by the authors, there is a huge uncertainty regarding storage. Therefore, even if the model was based on a physically sound assumption, it would be of little help for actual decision making. This is what the authors suggest in some parts of the paper, like for example “...in this work we are interested in characterizing the regime shift rather than anticipating it”.

Nevertheless, in the concluding remarks, the authors say that “our results and our study case show that the management of reservoirs should take alternative regimes into account and avoid a transition to low-volume regimes. Failing to do so represents a prolonged burden, extending well beyond the period of anomalous rainfall, because outflow has to be kept as low as possible until a backwards transition occurs. Therefore managers should act as another feedback mechanism in the socio-environmental system that keeps it in the desired regime notwithstanding external forces like climate anomalies. In not doing so, managers of the Cantareira system acted like one more external force that pushed the reservoir to a catastrophic shift”.

That is, based on a debatable model, to say the least, the authors proclaim the occurrence of a catastrophic shift in the Cantareira. Furthermore, they suggest that managers of the Cantareira system contributed to this alleged catastrophe.

In reality what is happening in the Cantareira isn't the consequence of the trapping of the system in a low stable level. It is just the result of a rare hydrological event. Indeed, if one chooses a normal distribution to model the annual inflow to the Cantareira reservoirs – which is a reasonable assumption in view of the Central Limit Theorem - and uses data from 1930 to 2013 to estimate the parameters, the estimated probability of an annual inflow equal or smaller of actually occurred in 2014 would be 0.004 (recurrence interval of 250 years).

The fact of the matter is that, under uncertainty it is extremely difficult for any Government to divert much needed financial resources from education, security and health of the population in order to build infrastructure capable of enduring very unlikely events. Monday morning quarterbacks don't have this problem.

Competing interests declared: I am professor of Hydrology at the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro and since January 2015 I became the CEO of Sabesp, the water company of Sao Paulo State and operator of the Cantareira reservoirs.

[report a concern](#) [respond to this posting](#)

Cantareira operators must consider critical transitions - response to Jerson Kelman

renatomc replied to kelman on 25 Sep 2015 at 13:01 GMT

Jointly written by the paper authors: Renato M. Coutinho, Roberto A. Kraenkel and Paulo I. Prado.

We welcome comments about our work and are glad to publicly discuss them in an academic forum. The debate on scientific research relevant to policy decisions is a much-needed step to reduce the huge research-implementation gap that plagues environmental policies in Brazil (Pardini et al. 2013).

Jerson Kelman disagrees with our central thesis that the Cantareira system went through a catastrophic transition to a low-efficiency regime. In what follows we will discuss the criticisms that were raised and, besides clarifying several technical points, we will show that they are either unsound or just show a lack of familiarity with the state-of-the-art in statistical modelling. We conclude upholding our point that critical transitions must be taken into account in managing water reservoirs. For the benefit of the readers we also provide references to standard texts in the area.

Our paper provides three lines of evidence for the occurrence of a critical transition in the Cantareira system: (1) a correlation between stored volume and rainfall/inflow ratio, (2) statistical indicators of transitions in the time series of stored water volume, and (3) a fit of a phenomenological model to data. In this comment we first answer to the concerns raised by Kelman on evidence lines 1 and 3. We then elaborate on the evidences not evaluated by Kelman, as we think that the three lines altogether provide a strong argument for the existence of a transition.

On the role of correlation in our results

Kelman incorrectly claims that we used a correlation between inflow and storage. Actually we proposed that storage is correlated with catchment efficiency - inflow/rainfall, not inflow rate. Figure 2 clearly shows that this was the case in the Cantareira system. That "*correlation does not imply causation*" is an unnecessary truism in a debate among experienced users of statistics. Nevertheless we state clearly the purpose of seeking the correlation (emphasis added) : "[...] *in order to proceed in a simpler way, we postulate that the volume of water stored in the reservoir is a surrogate for the drainage basin condition. To verify that this is a sound assumption, we show in Fig 2 the ratio of water inflow to the rainfall in the Cantareira reservoir as a function of the volume*". Once the assumption is verified, we proceeded by building a phenomenological model based on the observed correlation. Hence, the model does not depend on causation links between volume and efficiency, only on the validity of the volume as a surrogate of catchment condition for the case study, which is guaranteed by the correlation between them.

On model fitting and model selection

The concerns raised by Kelman about our statistical models suggest that our methods may be novel for part of the readers, and thus some technical details may need further clarification. In this section we give additional information on the underlying logic and robustness of our statistical approaches.

The model-based inference we used is a well grounded reasoning to link theory to data through statistical modelling (Edwards 1972, Burnham & Anderson 2002). Once each hypothesis is translated into a statistical model, log-likelihood ratios are used to express the support that the data provides to one model *vis a vis* the competing ones. So, the criterion to compare models is not simply looking at the curves, which is obviously subjective, but using the log-likelihood ratio, reported in the text and obtained from Table 1. In this case, this ratio was of 12.11, which means that the model which includes the rainfall x volume interaction was $\exp(12.11)=181679$ times more plausible than the competing one.

Our fitting procedure is not a "*cumbersome*" or "*difficult-to-reproduce approach*", it is cutting-edge statistics. Furthermore, our analyses were ran in R, a powerful statistical programming environment

which is widely used in applied scientific research. A large academic community worldwide uses R to make the latest statistical methods accessible in the form of open source code. The R packages we used were developed by leading researchers in statistical indicators of critical transitions (Dakos et al. 2012) and stochastic dynamic models (King et al. 2009). This code-sharing culture and many specific tools make R fully compliant to reproducible research. Accordingly, our results can be checked and reproduced by running the R codes we made available at <https://github.com/cantar...>, as mentioned in the "Data sets" section of the paper.

To fit the competing models, we estimated the three parameters of greatest interest, after fixing the remaining two to reasonable values, a rather simple approach that is quite justified to fit many-parameter models. We included white noise in the models to represent stochastic fluctuations of the system itself. Hence, the noise parameter expresses process uncertainty, in contrast to measurement errors, that are expressed by a separated parameter. To do so, we benefited from recent advances in fitting and evaluating partially observed Markovian processes (POMP) (<http://kingaa.github.io/p...>). An interesting side-effect of using POMP models was noted by Kelman, that points out that the selected model predicts "*a huge uncertainty regarding storage*". In our model, most of this uncertainty is due to the process and not to the measurement error, suggesting that environmental stochasticity should be taken into account in decision making, instead of being blamed or ignored. Finally, the comment that "*[the reduction of outflow] was a manmade phenomenon that certainly could not be modeled based on natural processes*" suggests that Kelman did not understand that the outflow term in our models is simply the empirical data publicly provided by SABESP. Any intervention by SABESP was already present in our model and is not, by itself, sufficient to provide an explanation to the data.

On indicators of critical transitions

Another strong evidence we present is the peak in the conditional variance shown in Fig 4 and explained in detail in the section "Model independent results". Kelman asserts that no critical transition has taken place, by attempting to erode the rationale behind the feedback assumed by our deterministic and stochastic models. However, he did not tackle this result, which does not rely on a particular postulated feedback, mechanistic or otherwise. This kind of peak is typical of phase transitions and cannot simply be ignored or attributed to some kind of dry spell. An easy entrance point to the vast literature on this topic can be found in the book by Scheffer (2009), while the references for the more recent developments and techniques are cited in the paper.

Concluding remarks

According to Kelman, what happened in the Cantareira system "*is just the result of a rare hydrological event*" namely, an unusually low inflow in 2014. This amounts to exclusively blame bad fortune for the water supply crisis. As rare as this event might be, and exact probabilities are still a matter for further debate, our point is that it did happen, and therefore requires an explanation. Rare events are not always unpredictable, and the best way to avoid them is to understand them. Science's role is to learn how and why such events occur, and thus the use of expressions like "*Monday morning quarterbacks don't have this problem*" hides a misconception and diverts the attention both from scientific discussion and from the assessment of responsibilities. It goes without saying that PLoS One is not a venue for expressions of that kind.

We indeed provided an explanation to the observed phenomenon - critical transitions to a low-efficiency state - along with evidences that such a transition took place. In our paper we pointed out that operators of the Cantareira system acted as one of the forces in the socio-environmental system that pushed it to the transition. We do not know if they were aware of the risk of a catastrophic shift at that time, but from now on they can be. So we hope that our paper and this comment will encourage managers of water reservoirs to consider critical transitions when taking decisions and to implement

strategies to avoid them.

References

Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2002). *Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical-Theoretic Approach*, 2nd ed. New York, Springer-Verlag.

Dakos, V., Carpenter, S. R., Brock, W. A., Ellison, A. M., Guttal, V., Ives, A. R., ... & Scheffer, M. (2012). Methods for detecting early warnings of critical transitions in time series illustrated using simulated ecological data. *PLoS One*, 7(7), e41010.

Edwards, A. W. F. (1972). *Likelihood – An Account of the Statistical Concept of Likelihood and its Application to Scientific Inference*. New York, Cambridge University Press.

King A. A., Ionides E. L. , Bretó C., Ellner S., Kendall B., Wearing H., et al.. (2009) pomp: Statistical inference for partially observed Markov processes; <http://pomp.r-forge.r-pr...>

Pardini, R., Rocha, P.L.B., El-Hani, C. & Pardini, F. (2013) Challenges and opportunities for bridging the research-implementation gap in ecological science and management in Brazil. *Conservation Biology – Voices from the Tropics* (eds N.S. Sodhi, L. Gibson & P.H. Raven), Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK.

Scheffer, M. (2009) *Critical Transitions in Nature and Society*. Princeton, Princeton University Press.

Acknowledgements

Our thanks to Renata Pardini and Diogo Melo for important suggestions to this comment.

No competing interests declared.

On the possibility of avoiding the transition reducing water withdrawal

Posted by [kelman](#) on 07 Oct 2015 at 10:49 GMT

In my earlier comment, I disagreed with the proposition that reducing water withdraw from the reservoirs of the Cantareira system would alter significantly the amount of water in the catchment (C). Therefore, a transition to a lower efficiency state, even if such phenomenon existed, would not result from a faulty operation rule. The authors answered saying that as “the reservoir outflow is of the same magnitude of the other two main natural flows in the Cantareira catchment, ... it is reasonable that the reservoir outflows participate in an important way in the water balance in this system, and more importantly so the lower the rainfall. Hence not reducing water withdrawal before the transition contributed to its unfolding”. I agree that the reservoir outflow participate in an important way in the water balance of the reservoir system. However, this doesn't mean that the reservoir outflow is relevant in the water balance of the catchment. This remains to be proved.

Competing interests declared: I am professor of Water Resources and Hydrology at the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro and president of Sabesp